

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,047	02/08/2006	Mark Geach	613-96	3193
23117 7590 11/16/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			PESELEV, ELLI	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/537,047	GEACH, MARK		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Elli Peselev	1623		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA- 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Oct</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters	•		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>16-31</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>16-31</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) in	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S ['] .C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) D Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 1623

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 9, 2007 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 16, 17 and 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lehmann et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,143,883).

Lehmann et al disclose oral administration of beta-glucan to species which includes fish (column 4, lines 42-68) and further disclose that as a booster of the immune system, said beta-glucan is useful in accelerating wound healing (column 5, lines 29-35). Lehmann et al also disclose oral, parenteral (column 4, lines 42-46) and topical(column 5, lines 21-25) administration of beta-glucan. Therefore, the claimed method of treating wound healing with beta-glucans in aquatic animals is anticipated by the disclosure by Lehmann et al. With respect to claim 31, which is limited to the treatment of inflammation, note that the treatment of a wound inherently encompasses the treatment of inflammation caused by said wound.

Claims 16-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehmann et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,143,883) in combination with Hayen et al (WO 95/04467)

Lehmann et al disclose administration of glucans to aquatic animals and further disclose the use of said glucans for the treatment of wound healing but do not disclose such administration in combination with vitamin C or a bactericidal agent. However, since administration of glucans in combination with vitamin C and antibiotics was well known in the art at the time of the present invention as disclosed by Hayen et al (page 9, lines 18-24 and page 10, lines 1-5), a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made would have been motivated to add vitamin C and

Art Unit: 1623

an antibiotic to glucans and administer said composition to aquatic animals in order to treat a bacterial infection which can result from a wound.

Claims 16-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rorstad et al (European Patent No. 0 466 037) in view of Lehmann et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,143,883).

Rorstad et al disclose administration of glucans to aquatic animals by oral, aqueous or parenteral administration alone or in combination with vitamins or antimicrobial agents (page 7, lines 37-54) and further disclose that such an administration provides immunostimulating effect (page 3, lines 45-58) but do not disclose a method for the treatment of wound or inflammation. However, since Lehmann et al disclose that glucans are effective in enhancing immune system and therefore are expected to be effective in accelerating wound healing (column 5, lines 29-35), a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made would have been motivated to administer glucans disclosed by Rorstad et al to aquatic animals for the purpose of wound healing, which also includes inflammation caused by said wound.

Applicant's arguments filed October 9, 2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

Art Unit: 1623

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200